## 18.4.3.3 Evaluation function

The *evaluation function* is the inverse of the calibration function. It is generated by applying the *evaluation operator* G to the calibration function. For a single analyte, this is G(F(x)), which is equal to G(E(y)). If the presumed calibration function is the actual calibration function (correct model), then G will be the inverse of F, and the operation G(F(x)) will return the actual concentration x. Application of G to the observed response y together with the estimated parameters, leads to  $\hat{x} = G(y)$  for the estimated concentration. Thus, for the simplest (straight line) calibration function we obtain

$$\hat{x} = (y - \hat{B})/\hat{A}$$
 (18.4.5)

The process is not quite so simple, of course, in terms of possible interference and losses and chemical matrix corrections. Error propagation, particularly if  $e_y$  is non-normal, and for the non-linear parts of the transformation [denominator of Eq. 5], also is not always trivial.

In the more general, multicomponent analytical process, the *evaluation function* is the inverse of the multicomponent calibration function, given by G(F(x)), where, if the presumed multicomponent calibration model is correct, G is now the generalized inverse of F. The estimated concentration vector  $\hat{x}$  is obtained by operating on the observed signal vector y. Thus,

$$\hat{x} = G(y) \tag{18.4.6}$$

Under the best of circumstances, G will be a linear operator derived from the calibration function, as in linear least squares estimation. Uncertainties in the numbers, identities, and spectra of the component analytes -- as well as multicollinearity (spectrum similarities) -- can lead to severe difficulties in the inversion of Eq. 18.4.4. That is, the identity

$$GF = I \tag{18.4.7}$$

may not obtain, or the solution may not be numerically stable due to near singularity. For multicomponent analysis, therefore, the Evaluation Function plays a major role in determining the precision and accuracy of the CMP.