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Abstract: A summary of recent advances in the chemistry and photophysics of alternating dialkylsilylene-divinylarene copolymers is presented. The silicon moieties are considered to be insulating tetrahedral spacers in these copolymers. The substituents on silicon can readily be tuned, and the steric environment of these substituents around the silicon may dictate the conformation (or helicity) of the copolymers (the Thorpe–Ingold effect) and hence their photophysical properties. Because different chromophores can be regio regularly introduced into the polymeric chain, these copolymers have been used as models to study energy transfer and photoinduced electron transfer (PET), as well as chiroptical transfer.
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INTRODUCTION

Linear polymers containing alternating silylene and π-conjugated moieties 1 [1] have been shown to have potential as ceramic precursor [2], heat-resistant materials [3], conducting materials [4], electroluminescent materials [5], and models for light-harvesting investigations [6]. Extensive studies on the σ–π conjugation suggest that conjugative interactions only take place in π-conjugated systems with disilylene or higher homologous oligosilylene spacers 1 (n ≥ 2) [7–10].

On the other hand, the σ–π interaction may be too weak to be characterized in monosilylene-spaced copolymers and the monosilylene moieties are, in general, considered to be insulating tetrahedral spacers [7b,c,10]. In particular, when other photophysical processes prevail, the characteristics of σ–π interaction, if any, may be buried in the overall spectroscopic properties and therefore cannot be unambiguously identified [9,11–17]. Consequently, incorporation of a monosilylene group into a polymeric chain as a spacer between well-defined chromophores (1, n = 1) offers much scope to influence the properties of polymers. First, they interrupt overextended conjugation so that the photophysical properties of polymers can be well adjusted and readily tuned. Secondly, the presence of alkyl substituents on silicon which may increase the chain flexibility, and the resultant higher solubility of the
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polymers in organic solvents is expected to render them more processable. In addition, the size of the alkyl substituent(s) on silicon may tune the relative conformation around the silicon atom so that the folding characteristics of the polymers can be adjusted [16]. Since the silylene moiety has tetrahedral structure, the copolymers are expected to be highly folded. Intrachain interactions between neighboring [12–15] and non-neighboring [11,16] chromophores may result in unusual photophysical behavior. During the past decade, alternating silylene-conjugated chromophore copolymers such as 2 have been shown to exhibit a range of fascinating photophysical properties, such as intrachain chromophore-chromophore aggregation [11], fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) [12] between neighboring chromophores, and electroluminescent [18] as well as photovoltaic [19] applications. This account summarizes recent developments in the chemistry of a range of silicon-containing copolymers. Particular emphasis is focused on synthesis and photophysical studies of silylene-divinylarene copolymers 2 developed recently in the author’s laboratory.

SYNTHESIS

The rhodium-catalyzed hydrosilylation involving bis-silyl hydrides 6 with bisalkynes 8 has been used throughout this study for the synthesis of copolymers 2 having two or more than two different kinds of chromophores 2 in a regioregular manner (Scheme 1) [7b,c,11–17]. The vinylic silyl hydrides 6 are prepared from the nickel-catalyzed olefination [20] of benzylic dithioacetals 3 with Me2(PrO)SiCH2MgCl followed by the reduction of the Si–O bonds [7b,c,11–17]. Alternatively, vinylsilanes 6 can be obtained from the hydrosilylation of the corresponding alkynes 5 (or 9) [21] which are available from the Sonogashira reaction [22] of aryl dihalides 7 with trimethylsilylacetylene followed by desilylation of the trimethylsilyl group [7b,c,11–17]. Displacement of R2SiHCl with vinylolithium generated in situ from 9 also gives the corresponding silyl hydride 6.

Scheme 1 (a) Me2(PrO)SiCH2MgCl, NiCl2(PPh3)2; (b) LiAlH4; (c) ClR2SiH, ClRh(PPh3)3; (d) i. Pd(PPh3)4, CuI, TMSC CH, ii, KOH; (e) i. tBuLi, –78 °C, ii. R2SiHCl; (f) ClRh(PPh3)3.

INFLUENCE OF THE THORPE–INGOLD EFFECT ON CONFORMATION

Replacement of two geminal hydrogen atoms on a tether connecting two reacting centers by two alkyl substituents has been shown to lead a change of conformational equilibrium which would bring these two reacting centers in close proximity such that the rate of reaction is enhanced [23]. This geminal disubstitution effect, known as the Thorpe–Ingold effect, has been extensively applied in organic
[23a–c] and organometallic chemistry [23d]. The use of this concept for the conformational investigations of polymers other than peptides [23e] has only sporadically been explored [24].

Divinylbenzene-dimethylsilylene copolymers 10 are known to exhibit unusual dual emission, at ca. 340 nm (comparable with that of the monomer 12) and in the blue light region with vibronic fine structures (Fig. 1) [11a]. The relative intensity of the emission around 430 nm increases with the degree of polymerization of 10. It is envisaged that the divinylsilane moieties in 10 may display a conformational equilibrium shown in eq. 1. Copolymer 10 would be highly folded and intrachain interactions between chromophores may readily take place at both ground and excited states leading to emission at 430 nm. More intrachain interactions between chromophores may take place as the number of repetitive units in 10 increases because the opportunity for one chromophore unit in 10 located proximal to the other in space would increase with the molecular weight.

![Figure 1](image)

**Fig. 1** Molecular-weight-dependent emission spectra (normalized at ca. 360 nm) of 10 (dot, $M_n = 2200$, dash, $M_n = 7500$, and solid, $M_n = 10700$) and 12 (dash-dot).

The folding nature of 10 may depend on the relative contribution of the conformers of the divinylsilane moieties shown in eq. 1. By adopting the idea of the Thorpe–Ingold effect, it is envisioned that an introduction of bulkier substituent(s) R in divinylsilanes may likely favor the syn-syn conformation over the others. The folding of silicon-containing copolymers may thus be enhanced when the methyl substituent on the silicon are replaced by a bulkier substituent. In addition, the two styryl moieties shown in eq. 1 would be in closer proximity in the syn-syn conformation than the others. It seems likely that the interactions between these geminal distyryl groups would be different among these conformers. As such, the photophysical behavior may also reflect the relative contribution of these con-
formers. This viewpoint has been tested by comparing the emission profiles of monomers 12 and 13, dimers 14 and 15, as well as polymers 10 and 11 (Fig. 2) [16].

As can be seen from Fig. 2, monomers 12 and 13 exhibit similar emission profiles. It is interesting to note that the emission intensity in the blue light region for 11 is much higher than that of 10, whereas the degrees of polymerization of 10 and 11 are similar. Apparently, interactions between chromophores would be more prominent in 11 than those in 10. The contribution of the syn-syn conformation for each of the individual divinylsilane modules in 11 would presumably be more prominent than that in 10 because of the Thorpe–Ingold effect, and thereby 11 would be more folded than 10. Consequently, through-space interactions between the divinylbenzene chromophores in 11 would have better opportunity than those in 10.

A red shift of the emission maxima for dimers 14 and 15 in comparison with those for monomers 12 and 13 is noteworthy. Interactions between the two geminal divinylbenzene chromophores may be responsible for this photophysical behavior. As shown in Fig. 2, the \( \lambda_{\text{em}} \) for 15 appears at even longer wavelength than that of 14. Presumably, interactions between neighboring chromophores would prevail in 15 over 14. These results suggest that the bulky isopropyl substituent on silicon might bring the two divinylbenzene chromophores in 15 to closer proximity due to the Thorpe–Ingold effect.

The scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) images of 10 and 11 are shown in Fig. 3 [16]. It is interesting to note that the images of 10 are fuzzier than those of 11. Presumably, the conformation of 10 would be more fluxional than that of 11. As shown in the inset of Fig. 3b, the image of 11 shows 17–20 bright spots which may be attributed to the divinylbenzene moieties. These results are consistent with the number average degree of polymerization (= 17) for 11. The distance between two non-neighboring divinylbenzene chromophores in space in 11 could be as close as 3.5 Å. Strong interactions between these chromophores might be expected. Apparently, the isopropyl substituents on silicon may provide a bulky environment to impose more syn-syn conformation for the divinylsilane moieties (eq. 1). A more rigid conformation for 11 might, therefore, be expected, resulting in a highly coiled structure. The STM results appeared to be consistent with the photophysical properties described above.
INFLUENCE OF THE THORPE–INGOLD EFFECT ON HELICITY

Incorporations of chiral auxilliary have been shown to induce the helical conformation of dimethylsilylene-spaced divinylarene copolymers 16 and 17 (Fig. 4) [14]. However, relatively high concentration (1 g/l) is required for the circular dichroitic measurements. Presumably, aggregation of these dimethylsilyl-spaced copolymers may be responsible for the observation of the helical property. It is worth noting that the transfer of chiroptical properties from the dialkoxydivinylbenzene chromophore to terphenylene-tetravinylene chromophore is observed in 17 (Fig. 4b).

As mentioned in the previous section, replacements of dimethyl substituents by bulky isopropyl groups on silicon would increase the population of the syn-syn conformation of the divinylsilane moiety shown in eq. 1, and copolymers with diisopropylsilylene spacers (e.g., 11) exhibit more coil-like conformation due to the Thorpe–Ingold effect (cf. Fig. 3b). Within this context, it is believed that an incorporation of bulky isopropyl substituent on silicon may also induce helicity of the silylene-spaced copolymers. This viewpoint has been tested by examining the photophysical and circular dichroism (CD) properties of copolymers 18 and 19, and the corresponding monomers 20 [25].
As shown in Fig. 5, the emission maximum of 19b appears at longer wavelength in comparison with those of 18a and monomer 20a in dilute dodecane (10 mg/l). It is noteworthy that the $\lambda_{\text{em}}$ for 18a is comparable to that of monomer 20a under these conditions. This discrepancy indicates that there may be strong intrachain chromophore–chromophore interactions in 19. Intriguingly, the emission spectrum of 18a also shifts to the longer wavelength at high concentration (1 g/l), presumably, due to intermolecular aggregation.

The absorption and CD profiles of 18a and 19 are shown in Fig. 6. Like 16 and 17, 18a is not CD active at low concentration (10 mg/l), but exhibits CD curve at high concentration (1 g/l) due to aggregation. On the other hand, 19a and 19b give mirror image CD profiles at low concentration (10 mg/l).
This observation suggests that 19 could be helical without intermolecular aggregation. Temperature-dependent emission spectra and CD curves of 19a in dodecane are shown in Fig. 7. The CD signals disappear at 100 °C due to conformational changes and gradually appear again at lower temperatures, and completely recovered at 0 °C. These reversible CD profiles were reproducible after several thermal cycles. It is interesting to note that the emission profiles of 19a are also significantly changed as the temperature increases. The conformational changes may provoke variations in chromophore–chromophore interactions in 19. These results appear to be consistent with the temperature-dependent CD profiles of 19.

**Fig. 6** The absorption spectra and CD profiles of polymers 18a (10 mg/l, dash; 1 g/l, dot), 19a (10 mg/l, solid line), and 19b (10 mg/l, dash-dot) in dodecane at ambient temperature.

**Fig. 7** Variable temperature (a) CD and (b) emission profiles of 19a in dodecane (0 °C: solid; 20 °C: dash; 40 °C: dot; 60 °C: dash-dot; 80 °C: dash-dot-dot; 100 °C: short dot).

**PHOTOINDUCED ELECTRON TRANSFER (PET)**

As mentioned in the previous sections, the synthetic protocol of dialkylsilylene-spaced divinylarene copolymers shown in Scheme 1 offers a useful entry for the synthesis of a series of the silylene-spaced...
copolymers having alternating donor and acceptor chromophores. These polymers have been used as an ideal architecture for efficient intrachain energy transfer. Indeed, the light-harvesting ability is enhanced when the ratio of donor to acceptor chromophore along the polymeric backbone is increased [12]. The use of the silylene spacer to link donor and acceptor chromophores in diarylsilane for electron transfer has briefly been explored [26]. We have examined the silylene-spaced copolymers with alternating azacrown and anthracene moieties 21 and 22 for photoinduced electron-transfer investigations [15]. These polymers exhibited efficient intrachain PET with charge separation yield about 0.96–0.99. The corresponding charge-transfer rates are around 10.8–32.2 ns\(^{-1}\) in different solvents. Intrachain interactions between chromophores may readily take place, leading to highly efficient electron-transfer processes. Metal cations have been shown to perturb the fluorescence intensity due to complexation with crown ether moieties in 21 and 22 [15,27].
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The rates of PET have also been shown to be dependent on the nature of the substituent on silicon. Thus, a replacement of the methyl substituent by the bulky isopropyl group has been shown to enhance the rate of PET (23 vs. 24) [15b]. The results are rationalized in terms of the Thorpe–Ingold effect (eq. 1) which would bring the neighboring donor and acceptor chromophores in closer proximity.

![Image of copolymer structures](image)

Similar to that described earlier (Fig. 3), 23 exhibits a relatively loose and elongated feature with a length roughly about 4.3 nm in the STM images (Fig. 8). On the other hand, the morphology of 24 appears to be very different and the average diameter of 24 is 3.4 nm. In other words, the images of 23 are fuzzier than those of 24, presumably due to the more fluxional conformation of 23 than that of 24. Apparently, the isopropyl group may provide a bulky environment to render the divinylsilane moieties in 24 to adopt the syn-syn conformation (eq. 1). As a result, the conformation for 24 would be expected to be in highly coiled structure. Such kind of coiled structure may lead to strong interactions between chromophores in 24 and is consistent with the photophysical results described above [15b].

As described in the previous sections, efficient intra-chain FRET and PET can readily proceed in these silylene-spaced divinylarene copolymers. The advantage of using silylene-spaced divinylarene copolymers relies on the easy accessibility by regioselective hydrosilylation of a bisalkyne with a bis-silyl hydride (Scheme 1). Accordingly, regioselective ensemble of three-component systems having a chromophore as an antenna for absorbing light (De), a FRET acceptor (A) and an electron donor for electron transfer (Ed) gives the corresponding copolymers 25 and 26 as an integrated polymeric archetype for the light harvesting and electron transfer.

Since the distance between two neighboring chromophores separated by a silylene moiety in these copolymers would be relatively short, the chromophores in these polymers can exhibit light harvesting to collect light energy, energy transfer as well as efficient charge separation. The overall efficiencies involving both FRET and PET in these silylene-spaced vinylarene copolymers can be up to 96 % [28].

CONCLUSIONS

Our earlier works on the nickel-catalyzed silylolefination from the corresponding benzylic dithioacetals [20] have provided a convenient entry to bis-vinylsilane monomers which can react with bis-alkynes in the presence of a rhodium catalyist to furnish a wide variety of regioregular and alternating silylene-spaced copolymers with different combinations of donor and acceptor chromophores. The silicon moieties have been shown to serve as insulating tetrahedral spacers in these copolymers. The substituents
on silicon can readily be tuned, and the steric environment of these substituents around the silicon atom may dictate the conformation (or helicity) of the copolymers (the Thorpe–Ingold effect) and hence the photophysical properties of these copolymers. The photophysical studies have provided useful insights into how chromophores in polymers interact intramolecularly. In addition, because different chromophores can regioregularly be introduced into the polymeric chain, these copolymers have extensively been used as models for studying FRET, PET, as well as chiroptical transfer.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the National Science Council and the National Taiwan University for support. Thanks are also due to co-workers whose names are listed in the references for their invaluable contributions.

REFERENCES AND NOTES


