Search for plant derived natural products with immunostimulatory activity (recent advances)

H. Wagner
Institute of Pharmaceutical Biology University of Munich

Abstract - Our recent screening program with higher plants has revealed many low m. w. compounds (e.g. alcaloids, quinones, terpenoids, phenolcarboxylic acids) and high m. w. compounds (e.g. polysaccharides, glycoproteins) with pronounced immunostimulating potential. The most promising low m. w. compounds are some cytostatic compounds, which are known for their direct antitumoral activities at high doses and exert immunostimulating effects when applied in minute doses. In the class of high m. w. compounds, primarily some complex acidic arabinogalactans or rhamnogalacturonans (e.g. Echinacea purpurea, Achyrocline sat., Urtica dioica) show significant immunostimulating activities in vitro and in vivo.

INTRODUCTION
The term immunostimulation comprises a prophylactic or therapeutic concept which aims at the stimulation of our nonspecific immune system. This implies primarily the non antigen dependent stimulation of the function and efficiency of granulocytes, macrophages, complement and natural killer cells. In contrast to immunity achieved by immunization or antibody injection, this type of immunity, arising from unspecific immunostimulation, is termed paramunity (ref. 1) and the agents responsible are known as paramunity inducers. It is characteristic for these agents that they do not affect immunological memory cells. Their pharmacological efficacy fades quickly and must therefore be renewed by administering the drug either in intervals or continuously.

What do we expect from these kinds of drugs? Unspecific immunostimulation constitutes either an alternative to or an adjuvant for conventional chemotherapy and prophylaxis of infections, for tumor- as well as autoimmune diseases, especially, when the host's immune system is impaired. Immunostimulation is also indicated to counteract immunosuppressions or an uneffectively working immune system, manifesting itself for example by a reduced resistance against infectious diseases, which may be the consequences of serious infections, physical and psychological stress, alcoholism, environmental damages such as pesticides, excessively applied chemotherapy, or long term treatment with immunosuppressive drugs. In undeveloped countries malnutrition can be another cause of a reduced resistance against infectious diseases.

DRUGS AVAILABLE TODAY
In the past, living and attenuated microorganisms autologous and heterologous proteins and injections of animal organ preparations were used with the aim of restoring an impaired defence mechanism. At present also thymus peptides and other Biological Response Modifiers (BRM) (e.g. interferon, interleukines), synthetic low molecular weight compounds (e.g. levamisol), chemically modified nucleotides, polysaccharides from fungi (e.g. lentinan) and, especially in Europe and China, some plant extracts are also used for the same purpose (ref. 2).

The absence of any breakthrough in this area has various reasons:
- many preparations e.g. the preparations from microorganisms and plants are not chemically defined or are insufficiently standardized.
- the few synthetic compounds are too toxic.
- the externally administered BRM can exhibit severe side effects when applied in a too high concentration or at the wrong time, since they have also important regulatory functions.
- furthermore, at the moment we are still unable to match the dose of any immunostimulant to the prevailing immune status and general constitution of a patient and by this to predict and optimize the efficiency in a proper way. Therefore, we need more basic research as far as the mechanism of action of the immunostimulants is concerned.

SELECTION OF DRUGS AND COMPOUNDS FOR SCREENING
In the search for plant constituents with immunostimulating potency one can select those plant drugs which so far have been used in traditional medicine. In the old literature you will not find the term immunostimulation. One can assume, however, that many plants
Table 1. Screening Methods for the Detection of Immunostimulating (modulating) Compounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In vitro phagocytosis</th>
<th>Leucocytes-migration inhibition-test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) microscopic smear test with human granulocytes</td>
<td>Complement-tests (classical and alternative c.t.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) chemoluminescence test with human granulocytes or macrophages</td>
<td>Immuneinduced cytotoxicity tests ($^{31}$Cr or $^{3}$H-Thymidin release from tumor cells or microorganisms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) flow cytometry</td>
<td>Interferon induction test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vivo phagocytosis on mice (Carbon clearance-test)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vitro-T-lymphocyte transformation test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) with T/B-lymphocytes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) with subpopulations of T-lymphocytes (T$^4$/T$^8$ and NK-cells)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

described for their antibacterial, antiviral, antifungal or antitumoral activities are good candidates for screening.

Another criterium can be when the applied quantities of drugs with claimed antiinfectious or antitumour activities are so small that a direct antimicrobial or antitumour effect can be excluded and an immune induced effect can be assumed.

Meanwhile, a great number of compounds with potential immunostimulating activity have been described. In this first class of compounds we find alcaloids, terpenoids, quinones as well as simple phenolic compounds, in the second class polysaccharides, peptides, glycoproteins and nucleotides (ref. 2).

METHODS FOR SCREENING AND EFFICIENCY PROOF (see also ref. 3)

For the screening of plant constituents, those in vitro and in vivo test systems are appropriate which allow the determination of the functional state and the efficiency of the cellular and humoral unspecific immune system, in particular those which include granulocytes, macrophages, T-lymphocyte populations, NK-cells and the complement as target cells or systems.

The same test models can also be applied for preclinical trials. In addition to that, infectious stress (cytotoxicity) tests with e.g. Candida albicans, Staphylococcus spp., Listeria enriettii in mice or the tumour transplantation inhibition test have to be performed. In recent years the flow cytometric methods have brought great methodological progress, especially for monitoring the immune status of a patient during immune therapy (ref. 4).

RECENT RESULTS

a) Low molecular weight compounds (Fig. 1)

The first chemically defined N-containing plant constituent which was found to exert immunostimulating activity, was aristolochic acid (ref. 5). In a double blind study 0,9 mg/die administered orally over 21 days showed a significant enhancement of phagocytosis with a maximum on the 6th/8th day.

From the six oxindol alcaloids, isolated from the Peruvian plant Uncaria tomentosa ("Una de gato"), isopteropodin-HCl (2) was shown to be a powerful in vitro stimulant of phagocytosis in the concentration range of $10^{-3}$ - $10^{-5}$ mg/ml (ref. 6). Since the isomeric pteropodin and the other alcaloids were much less active or inactive respectively, structure-activity relationships in the class of compounds must exist.

The observation that most of the investigated alcaloids (e.g. emetine, berberine, geisemine) showed immunosuppressive or cytotoxic effect when used in high doses and exhibited immunostimulatory properties only in very low doses has prompted us to investigate more closely this dose-dependent reversal effect. The naphthoquinone plumbagin (3) from Plumbago zeylanicum exerts in vitro immuno-suppressive or cytotoxic activity against primary cell cultures of granulocytes in a concentration range of 100 pg - 100 ng/ml, whereas it stimulates the same granulocytes in the extremely low concentration range of 100 pg - fg /ml (ref. 7). Identical or similar effects on human granulocytes and T-lymphocytes were observed with other naturally occurring naphthoquinones (e.g. chimaphilin, alkannin, shikonin), with vincristin, colchicine, suramin, and the following synthetic drugs: azathioprine, cyclophosphamide, methotrexate and fluorouracil (ref. 7).
Since it has been reported that cells whose activities are suppressed are more sensitive than "noninfluenced cells", we exposed lymphocytes to a brief cold treatment (cooling to 4°C for 1 hr) and incubated them afterwards with vincristine, using the same concentration ranges. We found that cold treatment resulted in a statistically significant increase in the stimulation rate (t-test: 2.25; p < 0.05) which exceeds that of nonpretreated cells. The same effect was observed when the lymphocytes were pretreated at 40°C for 30 min. After this finding it was not surprising, that phorbolesters (e.g. TPA) and the recently isolated antitumor agents bryostatins (5) from the marine organism Budula neritina (ref.8) also showed a similar dose dependent behaviour. Bryostatin I showed maximal stimulating effects on granulocytes and lymphocytes in the concentration range of 100 pg and 10 pg/ml, respectively. The results coincide with those of May et al (ref. 9), who found that bryostatins, in addition to their known antineoplastic activities show a multipotential stimulating effect on human haematopoietic progenitor cells. This again indicates that bryostatins can mimic at the same time many effects of the multipotent recombinant human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor HGM-CSF (ref. 10, 11, 12). In contrast to TPA, bryostatins lack complete tumor-promoting ability (ref. 13). The immunomodulating activity of cytostatic agents might result in another interesting effect on tumor growth. According to Sachs (ref. 14, 15, 16), various synthetic and microbial cytostatic agents (e.g. cytosin arabinoside, methotrexate or adriamycin) are able to achieve in vitro a differentiation of myeloid leukemia cells and thereby a reversion of malignancy at doses of 3-7 ng/ml. Induction of differentiation was explained by the production of colony stimulating inducer proteins/MG T-2) as modulators or inhibitors of oncogene expression, respectively. When this triggering effect of cell differentiating processes by low doses of immunostimulatory agents can also be exhibited in vivo, a new promising concept of tumor therapy would be available (ref. 17).

In the light of all these new findings, it is plausible to assume that many cancer drugs of plant origin such as e.g. mistletoe (Viscum album), the South American lapacho (Tabebuia avellanedae), the extract of Dionaeas muscipula and others exert their antitumor activities by a total or partial immune induced mechanism of action.

If we survey the vast number of plant drug constituents which may act as immunostimulators, we find also compounds which are neither irritants nor cytotoxic in high doses or carcinogenic. A detailed monitoring of extracts of Echinacea spp. with several in vitro and in vivo immunological assays revealed isobutylamides (6), phenolcarboxylic acid esters (i.e. cichoric acid 7) (ref. 18) and polysaccharides as the immunologically active principles of these drugs (ref. 19).

The natural products described so far can be classified as granulocyte-, macrophage- and/or lymphocyte stimulators.
Since the human complement system also plays a very pronounced role in the immune defense system and in inflammation processes, we also have established an in vitro test system for screening isolated compounds for their complement activating effect on the classical and alternative cascade of the complement system (ref. 20). Besides rosmarinic acid, some cinnamic acid derivatives and a few flavonol-acryl-glycosides as well as some triterpenoic acids were found to inhibit significantly the classical way of complement cascade. (Table 2).

### Table 2: Complement activating terpenoid acids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Inhibition of guinea pig complement at concentrations*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boswellic acid (g)</td>
<td>80-90% 65-80% 40-50% 20-30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeic acid</td>
<td>100% 75-95% 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ursolic acid</td>
<td>100% 89-90% &lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycyrrhetic acid</td>
<td>90-60% 20-30% &lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oleic acid</td>
<td>40-50% 10-20% &lt;1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*) mean values of 5 experiments

### Table 3: Immunologically thoroughly investigated polysaccharides from higher plants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant Name</th>
<th>Polysaccharide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chamomilla recutita</td>
<td>Echinacea spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achyrocline saxatricoides</td>
<td>Bupatorium cannabinin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astragalus mongholicus</td>
<td>Eupatorium perfoliatum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arctaria officinalis</td>
<td>Nerium oleander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angelica acutiloba</td>
<td>Panax ginseng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azadirachta indica serrulata</td>
<td>Solidago officinalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baptisia tinctoria</td>
<td>Urtica dioica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bupleurum falcatum</td>
<td>Calendula officinalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baptisia tinctoria</td>
<td>Viscum album</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### b) High molecular weight compounds

In the last 20 years, a great number of water soluble polysaccharides with reported immunostimulating potential have been isolated from higher plants (ref. 2, 21, 22). In table 3 the most intensively studied plants with respect to their polysaccharide composition are listed. The immunostimulating potential of these polysaccharides is described as "enhancing the phagocytosis of granulocytes and macrophages", "inducing the Interferone-, Interleukine-, Tumor necrosis factor - production", "compiment activating" or "antitumoral". The term "antitumoral", first defined for several polysaccharides from fungi and algae includes several kinds of interactions, in which macrophages as well as T-lymphocytes, NK-cells and their mediators can be involved. The expression "complement activating" can be understood or interpreted as an antigen processing mechanism or as an antiinflammatory activity because most of the complement tests measure the complement consumption only.

Since it is obvious that the various polysaccharides do not have the same sides of attack in the immune system, it is at present hardly possible to draw a clear structure activity relationship and indicate which structural features are essential for an optimally immunostimulating activity. As far as the phagocytosis and macrophage stimulation is concerned, it is remarkable that neutral xyloglucans and glycuronic acid containing arabinogalactans or 4-O-methylglucuronoxylans are predominating. Most of the active polysaccharides are highly branched with anionic structural units and m.w. in the range of 20.000 to 50.000 and more. They derive from primary cell walls, have pectic or protopectic properties and some are viscous, belonging to the class of gums and mucilages (ref. 21). In the class of potent complement activating polysaccharides acidic polygalacturonan structures with arabinogalactan side chains are frequently found (ref. 22).

It is assumed that the fine structures of these polysaccharides, i.e. the tertiary structures, affect the mode of action.

As prototypes of both classes, the following polysaccharides are described in details:

Echinacea species are the starting material of many phytopreparations in Germany and other countries of Europe. The roots of Echinacea purpurea or E. angustifolia have been used by the Indians of North America for wound healing and the treatment of infections. Today, extracts of both plants are claimed to have immunostimulating activity and are used prophylactically and therapeutically as adjuvants for the management of infectious diseases and in particular for the treatment of chronic bronchitis, sinusitis and influenza. Besides some low molecular weight compounds (see first part) two chemically defined polysaccharides with immunostimulating activity have been isolated from the upper part of Echinacea purpurea, namely a heteroxylan (m.w. = 35.000 D) and an acidic arabinohamnogalaktan (m.w. = 450.000 D) stimulated granulocytes and macrophages and induced the production of monokines (IL-1, LAF) in stimulated marrow macrophages and revealed high toxicity against tumor target cells as measured by the $^{31}$Cr-release assay (ref. 23). Meanwhile for a closer investigation, we were able to produce active polysaccharides also from plant cell cultures of Echinacea purpurea. From the extracellular polysaccharide mixture after purification and separation by ethanol precipitation and column chromatography (DEAE-Sepharose CL-6B, DEAE-Trisacryl M and Sephacryl S 400) three homogenous polysaccharides could be isolated and structurally elucidated as two neutral fucogalactosylglycanas (m.w. = 10.000 D and 25.000 D) (g) and an acidic arabinogalactan with mean m.w. of 75.000 D (ref. 24) (10). The polysaccharide with m.w. 10.000 D was immunologically inactive. The other fucogalactosylglycanas stimulated mainly the phagocytosis of granulocytes and macrophages. The acidic arabinogalactan was effective in activating macrophages to cytotoxicity against tumor cells (ref. 25) and in vitro as well as in vivo against microorganisms (Leishmania enretitii, Candida albicans). Furthermore, this polysaccharide induced macrophages to produce tumor necrosis factor (TNF-α), interleukin -1(IL-1),...
Plant derived natural products with immunostimulatory activity

1) Arabinogalactan-part:

2) Rhamnogalakturonan-part:

3) Arabinan-part:

Echinacea-Polysaccharid I (9)

Echinacea-Polysaccharid II (10)

Achyrocline sat. Polysaccharid AS 4 (11)

interferon-β2 and oxygen radicals, the latter as measured by chemoluminescence. The arabinogalactan did not activate B-cells and did not induce T cells to produce interleukin-2, interferon-β2 or interferon-γ, but generated a slight increase of T-cell proliferation (ref. 25). The great advantages of this polysaccharide are that it seems to act rather selectively on macrophages and that it is nontoxic over a wide dose range (acute toxicity > 4 g/kg ip or i.v.). Specific immune responses to red blood cells of sheep (antibody production) and to listeria (DTH) were not affected by this polysaccharide. The positive infection stress tests performed with mice let us assume that this polysaccharide is a promising candidate for clinical trial.

The nearly exclusive activity of the Echinacea polysaccharide on granulocytes and macrophages is in some way unique, since e.g. an acidic arabinogalactan from mistletoe (Viscum album) of similar chemical composition does not enhance TNF from macrophages but strongly activates complement (ref. 26).

In contrast to this compound, two further polysaccharides isolated from Achyrocline satureioides (Asteraceae), showed immunological activities in a great number of test models (ref. 27). Both rhamnose, xylose and arabinose containing glykanogalakturonans (m.w. = 7.600, 15.000 D) (11) enhanced strongly the granulocyte and macrophage phagocytosis, showed a moderate effect on the TNF-α induction and exerted a strong anticomplementary activity in the classical as well as in the alternative pathway. It is likely that these anticomplementary properties are responsible for the antiphlogistic activities of both polysaccharides as measured in the rat paw oedema model (ref. 27). In comparison to indometacin (10 mg/kg) both polysaccharides exhibited the same activity (25-30% oedema reduction in 8 hrs) at concentrations of 3 mg/kg at i.v. administration.

The same correlation between the anticomplementary in vitro effect and the antiphlogistic activity in experimental animals has also been found for a polysaccharide mixture from Urtica dioica (ref. 28). If we compare our results on the anticomplementary activities of polysaccharides from higher plants with those of Ymada's group, we find a good congruence in that most of them are pectic heteroglycans with a relatively high proportion of galacturonan backbones. This finding is in accordance with our other finding that the most active anticomplementary polysaccharides from algae were found to be sulfated polysaccharides such as carrageenan, fucoxidans, and heparin (ref. 29). However, there are also neutral polysaccharides, e.g. Lentinan from the fungus Lentinus edodes and amylose, occurring
widely in fruits and roots, which were found to be good anticomplementary active polysaccharides (ref. 20).

In this context we should also mention the so called "antitumoral" polysaccharides from fungi, e.g. Lentinan, (Lentinus edodes), Schizophyllan (Schizophyllum commune) or Krestin (Coriolus versicolor). These antitumor polysaccharides, in most cases, were shown to be glucans with different types of glycosidic linkages. Some structural features were obvious prerequisites: i.e. β-1→3-linkages in the main chain of the glucan core and further every fourth glucose unit, containing a covalent bound peptide residue. The clinical usefulness of these polysaccharides mainly in combination with chemotherapy has been demonstrated with patients suffering from lung-, gastric-, colon- and cervical cancer (ref. 30). In general i.m. injections once or twice per week with single doses, ranging from 2mg to 30mg of these polysaccharides were shown to be effective in prolonging considerably the survival period of the patients.

From the in vitro- and in vivo experiments performed so far, it can be concluded that these glucans exert their immune induced antitumor activities primarily by stimulating lymphocytes to liberate lymphokines (IL 2, MAF), thus activating NK-cells and macrophages.

As far as Krestin, a protein with covalently bound polysaccharide, is concerned, it is not clear whether or to which extent the protein part attributes to the immunological activity of this compound. On the other hand there are a series of glycoproteins and proteins such as lectin (e.g. concanavalin A), which exert modulatory influences within the immune system. The targets for these kinds of plant derived polymers are at first hand T-lymphocytes, NK-cells and the complement. E.g. it has been shown that nontoxic doses of the β-galactoside - specific lectin ML I from mistletoe (Viscum album) is able to activate tumoricidal effector mechanisms in experimental animals as well as in patients (ref. 31).
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