Enantioselective syntheses of organosulfur compounds via [2,3] sigmatropic rearrangements of ylides derived from di(allyl), di(propargyl), and di(benzyl) sulfide complexes; control of carbon configuration by an easily resolved and recycled rhenium auxiliary

Oliver Meyer, Phillip C. Cagle, Konrad Weickhardt, Dominique Vichard, and J. A. Gladysz*

Department of Chemistry, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112 (U.S.A.)

Abstract: The [2,3] sigmatropic rearrangement of sulfur ylides with S-allyl or related substituents finds widespread use in organic synthesis, but enantioselective versions of this carbon-carbon bond forming reaction are relatively unexplored. We report that the chiral rhenium Lewis acid $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)]^+$ is a highly effective auxiliary for desymmetrizations of di(allyl), di(propargyl), and di(benzyl) sulfides. Additions of *t*-BuOK to the air-stable cationic sulfide adducts give neutral thiolate complexes of high diastereomeric purities. The thiolate ligands can be S-alkylated and detached as sulfides of high enantiomeric purities. The rhenium auxiliary is easily recovered and recycled without loss of configuration. Crystal structures establish product configurations, and mechanisms of diastereoselection are analyzed in detail.

Sulfur ylides that have allyl substituents undergo rapid [2,3] sigmatropic rearrangements to give sulfides or thioethers (1). This carbon-carbon bond forming reaction commonly generates a new carbon stereocenter, and sees extensive use in organic synthesis. The ylides are most often accessed by deprotonations of sulfonium salts. Surprisingly, there are only two cases in which sulfides have been generated in an enantioselective manner from sulfonium salts that lack resolved carbon stereocenters (2). These are depicted in Scheme 1. One involves an adamantyl sulfonium salt with a resolved sulfur stereocenter, and gives a sulfide of high enantiomeric purity. The other involves an achiral sulfonium salt and a chiral solvent and base, and gives a sulfide of low enantiomeric purity. Both were reported over twenty years ago by Trost.

> Scheme 1. Enantioselective Syntheses of Sulfides via Deprotonation and Rearrangement of Allylic Sulfonium Salts that Lack Carbon Stereocenters



The protocols in Scheme 1 are obviously of limited generality or effectiveness. We thought that sulfur-bound chiral auxiliaries might be able to efficiently control the carbon configurations of the products. Surprisingly, such approaches have not been previously investigated. Since sulfides readily coordinate to transition metals, we viewed chiral metal fragments as particularly promising. Although numerous candidates exist, extensive studies from our laboratory have established that Lewis base adducts of the chiral rhenium Lewis acid $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)]^+$ (I) are easily prepared in enantiomerically pure form.

In this symposium account, we report that I serves as a readily recycled auxiliary for the conversion of achiral, symmetrical di(allyl), di(propargyl), and di(benzyl) sulfides to chiral, rearranged sulfides of high enantiomeric purities. In particular, alkoxide bases deprotonate the cationic adducts to sulfur ylides that undergo rapid [2,3] sigmatropic bond shifts at -80 °C. To our knowledge, this constitutes the first time that such processes have been effected in a metal coordination sphere. Mechanistic and structural data that help rationalize the dominant carbon configurations are also described. Full experimental details are reported elsewhere (3).

Results

1. Syntheses of Sulfide Complexes. Racemic or enantiomerically pure di(allyl), di(propargyl), and di(benzyl) sulfide complexes $[(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(SR_2)]^+ X^- (4^+ X^-)$ were prepared in 86-66% unoptimized yields as shown in Scheme 2. Configurations (retention) were assigned by analogy to other substitution reactions of 2 and 3^+ BF₄⁻ (4,5), and were confirmed crystallographically below (6). The diastereotopic SR₂ groups gave only one set of NMR signals at room temperature. Low temperature NMR spectra of 4b⁺ TfO⁻ established an inversion/rotation barrier of 9.4-9.5 kcal/mol. Hence, 4⁺ X⁻ have much lower sulfur inversion barriers than organic sulfonium salts (7,8).





2. [2,3] Sigmatropic Rearrangements. As shown in Scheme 3, reactions of the racemic di-(allyl) sulfide complexes 4a-c⁺ TfO⁻ and t-BuOK gave the air-stable thiolate complexes (n^5 -C₅H₅)Re(NO)-(PPh₃)(SCHR'R") (5a-c) in 95-90% vields as 98:2 to 93:7 mixtures of Re.SC configurational diastereomers. Thus, chirality transfer to the new carbon stereocenters is strikingly efficient. Reactions were complete within one minute at -80 °C, and were presumed to involve the ylides 6 and subsequent [2,3] sigmatropic rearrangements. The non-racemic sulfide complexes (S)-4a- c^+ BF₄⁻ gave similar or slightly better results. Product configurations were assigned from crystal structures (Fig. 2,3), and other data.





a 99.3:0.7 before workup

Complexes 4d-f⁺ TfO⁻ have unsymmetrically substituted allyl termini. As shown in Scheme 4, the resulting thiolates 5d-f therefore contain a second carbon stereocenter (SCC or C_B). Except for the t-butyl substituted sulfide $4e^+$ TfO⁻, the SCC diastereoselectivities were much lower. However, the configuration of this stereocenter provides insight regarding the mechanism of chirality transfer (below).

Scheme 4. Reactions of Di(allyl) Sulfide Complexes that have Unsymmetrically Substituted Allyl Termini



*SRS,RSR. *SRR,RSS. cdiastereomer ratios are slightly altered by workup.

3. Reactant Conformations and Product Configurations. In order to help clarify the basis for the high diastereoselectivities in Scheme 3, we sought to probe the conformations of the sulfide ligands in $4^+ X^-$. However, the rapid exchange of SR₂ groups complicates NMR approaches. Thus, crystal structures were attempted. Suitable crystals of the non-racemic complex (S)-4a⁺ BF₄⁻ could not be obtained. However, the corresponding hexafluoroantimonate salt (S)-4a⁺ SbF₆⁻, which was prepared by metathesis, readily crystallized. Two independent cations were present in the unit cell, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Key metrical parameters for all crystal structures are given in TABLE 1.

TABLE 1. Selected Bond Lengths (Å), Bond Angles (°), and Torsion Angles (°) of crystallographically characterized compounds

	(S)- 4 4	a⁺ SbF ₆ -			(SRR,I	RSS)- 5e	
Re-P	2.396(2)	Re'-P'	2.403(2)	Re-P	2.343(3)	Re-N	1.748(8)
Re-S	2.372(2)	Re'-S'	2.404(3)	Re-S	2.392(2)	S-C24	1.85(1)
Re-N	1.771(9)	Re'-N'	1.760(9)	P-Re-N	92.9(3)	S-Re-N	102.5(3)
S-C24	1.81(1)	S'-C24'	1.78(1)	S-Re-P	87.53(9)	Re-S-C24	106.9(3)
S-C27	1.84(1)	S'-C27	1.81(1)	P-Re-S-C24	-179.1(3)	N-Re-S-C24	-86.7(4)
P-Re-N	94.4(3)	P'-Re'-N'	93.3(3)				
S-Re-P	98.00 (7)	S'-Re'-P'	92.67(9)	(<i>SR</i> , <i>RS</i>)-5a-Me ₅			
S-Re-N	98.4(3)	S'-Re'-N'	87.3(4)	Re-P	2.352(3)	Re-N	1.68(1)
P-Re-S-LP	90.2(1)	P'-Re'-S'-LP'	-69.2(1)	Re-S	2.394(3)	S-C24	1.83(2)
N-Re-S-C24	-46.8(4)	N'-Re'-S'-C24'	-97.5(6)	P-Re-N	94.6(5)	S-Re-N	104.8(4)
N-Re-S-C27	67.0(4)	N'-Re'-S'-C27'	142.6(5)	S-Re-P	87.4(2)	Re-S-C24	108.5(6)
N-Re-S-LP	-174.1(3)	N'-Re'-S'-LP'	24.0(3)	P-Re-S-C24	-150.7(5)	N-Re-S-C24	-56.7(6)
(SS)-5a			(S <i>R,R</i> S)-5h				
Re-P	2.384(1)	Re-N	1.741(5)	Re-P	2.354(2)	Re-N	1.764(5)
Re-S	2.348(1)	S-C24	1.849(6)	Re-S	2.384(2)	S-C24	1.845(6)
P-Re-N	93.2(2)	S-Re-N	100.7(2)	P-Re-N	91.8(2)	S-Re-N	1.845(6)
S-Re-P	86.00(5)	Re-S-C24	109.5(2)	S-Re-P	89.31(5)	Re-S-C24	107.0 (2)
P-Re-S-C24	-152.5(3)	N-Re-S-C24	-60.0(3)	P-Re-S-C24	-175.3(0.2)	N-Re-S-C24	-83.6(0.3)

The structures in Fig. 1 verify the rhenium configuration (S), which corresponds to overall retention from methyl complex (S)-1 (Scheme 2). There is also good evidence that the intermediate chlorobenzene complex 3^+ BF₄⁻ is formed and reacts with retention (9). The fortuitous presence of two cations allows a better appreciation of the ensemble of ligand conformations that may be populated in solution. The cations differ primarily in the Re-S conformation, as reflected by the differences in P-Re-S-LP (lone pair) or N-Re-S-LP torsion angle (TABLE 1; $\Delta 160-150^\circ$).

Fig. 1. Structures of the two crystallographically independent cations of the di(allyl) sulfide complex (S)-4a⁺ SbF₆⁻. Ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level, except for C26' which is depicted at the 25% probability level.



The crystal structures of non-racemic thiolate (SS)-5a and racemic thiolate (SRR,RSS)-5e are depicted in Fig. 2. These represent the major diastereomers formed from (S)-4a⁺ BF₄⁻ and 4e⁺ TfO⁻, respectively. Analogous relative Re,SC configurations were assigned to the major diastereomers of the other thiolate complexes. The structure of (SS)-5a also establishes retention at rhenium for the deprotonation/rearrangement sequence. The Re-S conformations of all crystallographically characterized compounds are compared in Fig. 3.





Fig. 3. Rhenium-Sulfur conformations in crystallographically characterized compounds: Newman projections down the S-Re bonds.



4. Chiral Organic Sulfides. We sought to detach the thiolate ligands from 5. The sulfur atoms of thiolate ligands are commonly more nucleophilic than those of organic sulfides (10). Thus, as shown in Scheme 5, 5a-c and MeOTf (1.0 equiv) were combined in CH₂Cl₂ at -80 °C. The cationic methyl sulfide complexes $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(S(Me)CHR'R'')]^+$ TfO⁻ (7a-c⁺ TfO⁻) were isolated in 95-89% yields. These sulfur-based transformations were presumed to proceed with retention at rhenium.



*SS,RR/SR,RS. bSS/SR. cS/R. dnon-racemic samples were >99:<1 S/R.

Subsequent reactions with Et₄N⁺ CN⁻ (1.5 equiv) gave the free methyl sulfides MeSCHR'R" (8ac) in 67-58% yields after distillation. The known cyanide complex (η^{5} -C₅H₅)Re(NO)(PPh₃)(CN) (9) was obtained in 89-84% yields (11). This compound is easily recycled to the methyl complex 1 (12). Additional data are summarized in Scheme 5.

Separate NMR experiments showed the formation of 8 and 9 to be spectroscopically quantitative. Thus, the lower yields of the somewhat volatile methyl sulfides 8 were attributed to losses during solvent removal or distillation. In order to reduce this problem, 5a,b were treated with PhCH₂I, which transfers a heavier alkyl group (Scheme 5). The benzyl sulfide complexes $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Re(NO)(PPh_3)(S(CH_2Ph)-CHR'R'')]^+ I^- (10a,b^+ I^-)$ were isolated in 97-79% yields. Reactions with Et₄N⁺ CN⁻ and silica gel workups gave the free benzyl sulfides PhCH₂SCHR'R'' (11a,b) in 85-84% yields.

Reactions were repeated with selected non-racemic thiolate complexes. As summarized in Scheme 5, (SS)-5a-c were alkylated to give (SS)-7a-c⁺ TfO⁻ and (SS)-10a,b⁺ I⁻ (95-79%). Reactions with Et4N⁺ CN⁻ gave the free sulfides (S)-8a-c (67-58%) and (S)-11a,b (82-77%). Enantiomeric purities were assayed with chiral NMR shift reagents, and closely matched the diastereomeric purities of the precursors (S/R > 99:<1 to 92:8; Scheme 5). The cyanide complex (S)-9 was recovered in 93-78% yields and >98% ee (11b,12). This shows that no racemization or epimerization of the rhenium occurs at any stage in Schemes 2-5. The configuration corresponds to retention from (SS)-7,10⁺ X⁻, as established for closely related cyanide ion substitutions (12).

5. Optimization and Mechanistic Experiments. We explored various possibilities for increasing the ratio of diastereomers of 5a obtained in Scheme 3 (93:7). The diastereomer ratio was unaffected when the concentration of $4a^+$ TfO⁻ was varied fifty-fold or reactions were conducted at -105 °C. The ratio decreased when reactions were run at higher temperatures. As summarized in TABLE 2, solvent significantly influenced diastereomer ratios. However, only diglyme gave improved results (95.5:4.5). A variety of other bases could also be employed. Stronger R₂N⁻ or R⁻ bases gave much lower diastereomer ratios, sometimes with reversed selectivities.

Solvent ^a	Base/Solvent	SS,RR/SR,RS
THF	t-BuOK/THF	93:07
acetone	t-BuOK/THF	91:09
CH ₂ Cl ₂	t-BuOK/THF	71:29
EtOAcb	t-BuOK/THF	93.07
toluene ^c	t-BuOK/THF	78:22
diglyme ^d	t-BuOK/THF	95.5:4. 5
THF	MeONa/MeOH	92:08
THF THF	MeONa/MeOH t-BuOLi/THF	92:08 87:13
THF THF THF	MeONa/MeOH & BuOLi/THF (Me3Si) ₂ NLi/THF	92:08 87:13 40:60
THF THF THF THF	MeONa/MeOH t-BuOLi/THF (Me3Si) ₂ NLi/THF (Me3Si) ₂ NK/THF	92:08 87:13 40:60 66:34
THF THF THF THF THF	MeONa/MeOH t-BuOLi/THF (Me3Si) ₂ NLi/THF (Me3Si) ₂ NK/THF (Me3Si) ₂ NK/toluene	92:08 87:13 40:60 66:34 43:57
THF THF THF THF THF THF	MeONa/MeOH #BuOLi/THF (Me3Si) ₂ NLi/THF (Me3Si) ₂ NK/THF (Me3Si) ₂ NK/toluene (<i>i</i> -Pr) ₂ NLi+THF/cyclohexane	92:08 87:13 40:60 66:34 43:57 47:53

TABLE 2. Effects of Solvent and Base on the Conversion of 4a⁺ TfO⁻ to 5a

^a ca. 0.001 M, -80 [°]C. ^b 4a^{*} TfO is slightly soluble in EtOAc. ^c4a^{*} TfO is insoluble in toluene and some byproducts form (ca. 6%). ^d conducted at -66 [°]C.

In theory, either the first or second step in Scheme 3 might be rate determining. We sought to assay the reversibility of the deprotonation of $4^+ X^-$ to ylide 6. Thus, $4a,c^+$ TfO⁻ were dissolved in THF containing the *deuterated* alcohol *t*-BuOD (16 equiv), and a *deficiency* of *t*-BuOK (0.5 equiv) was added. Any return of 6a,c to $4a,c^+$ TfO⁻ would then be accompanied by deuterium incorporation. The products 5a,c and unreacted $4a,c^+$ TfO⁻ were isolated, and mass spectrometry showed very low levels of deuteration (1-7%). Hence, the deprotonation of $4^+ X^-$ to 6 cannot be a reversible, pre-equilibrium step.

Scheme 6. Estimation of Kinetic Deuterium Isotope Effect



© 1996 IUPAC, Pure and Applied Chemistry 68, 79–88

As shown in Scheme 6, a mixture of $4c^+$ TfO⁻ and the deuterated sulfide complex $4c^+$ - d_{19} TfO⁻ was reacted with a *deficiency* of *t*-BuOK (mol ratio 50:50:10). A mass spectrum of the resulting thiolate 5c showed a 60.4:39.6 d_{18}/d_0 mixture, implying a k_H/k_D of 1.53. This establishes, together with the previous experiment, that the deprotonation of 4^+ X⁻ to 6 is rate determining. The low value is presumably due to a bent or unsymmetrical transition state.

6. Pentamethylcyclopentadienyl Complexes. We thought that diastereoselectivities might increase with bulkier, pentamethylcyclopentadienyl analogs of $4^+ X^-$. As shown in Scheme 7, reactions of the parent di(allyl) sulfide complex $4a^+$ -Me₅ BF₄⁻ and *t*-BuOK gave the thiolate 5a-Me₅ as 93:7 to 90:10 mixtures of diastereomers — comparable to the result with $4a^+$ BF₄⁻ and 5a. However, a crystal structure of the major diastereomer (Fig. 2,3) showed that the *opposite* (*SR*,*RS*) diastereomer preferentially forms. The implications of this surprising result are analyzed below.





7. Di(propargyl) and Di(benzyl) Sulfide Complexes. We wondered if other types of unsaturated sulfide ligands would give similar chemistry. As depicted in Scheme 8 (top), reaction of the di-(propargyl) sulfide complex $4g^+$ TfO⁻ and t-BuOK gave the thiolate 5g as a 87:13 mixture of diastereomers. Thus, an analogous rearrangement occurs with a diastereoselectivity only slightly lower than those of the di(allyl) sulfide complexes. The relative Re,SC configuration of the major diastereomer was presumed to be identical with that of 5a.



Scheme 8. Reactions of Di(propargyl) and Di(benzyl) Sulfide Complexes 4g,h+ TfO-

As shown in Scheme 8 (bottom), reaction of the parent di(benzyl) sulfide complex $4h^+$ TfO⁻ and t-BuOK gave the thiolate 5h as a 96:4 mixture of diastereomers. Thus, a similar rearrangement occurs with a diastereoselectivity comparable to those of the di(allyl) sulfide complexes. However, the intermediate ylide 6h (Scheme 8) initially yields a thiolate complex with a dearomatized ring, which isomerizes to 5h in a subsequent step. A crystal structure of the major diastereomer (Fig. 2,3) showed that the relative Re,SC configuration was identical with that of 5a.

Discussion

1. Scope and Merits of Methodology. Schemes 3-8 establish the following new or previously unexploited chemical phenomena: (a) sulfur ylides can be generated from cationic transition metal complexes of di(allyl), di(propargyl), and di(benzyl) sulfides and bases; (b) these undergo rapid [2,3] sigmatropic rearrangements to give neutral thiolate complexes; (c) with chiral metal fragments, the configurations of the resulting SC carbon stereocenters can be efficiently controlled; (d) non-racemic chiral metal fragments can be used to prepare chiral organosulfur compounds of high enantiomeric purities. The net organic transformation accomplished is highlighted in the middle of Scheme 9, using di(2-methylallyl) sulfide for illustration.



The starting material for this desymmetrization process, methyl complex 1, can be prepared from commercially available Re₂(CO)₁₀ in three steps and 57% overall yield (4). The enantiomers are easily resolved *en route* in two steps and 76% yield. All of the compounds in Scheme 9, and precursors thereof, are air-stable and amenable to multigram scale preparations. Each of the individual steps in Schemes 2-8 is spectroscopically quantitative, and isolated yields have not been optimized. At present, (S,R)-4b⁺ TfO⁻ and (S)-4b⁺ BF₄⁻ can be converted to the free methyl sulfides (S,R)- and (S)-8b in 55-44% overall yields, and the cyanide complexes (S,R)- and (S)-9 in 73-69% overall yields. With the benzyl sulfides (S,R)- and (S)-11b, yields increase to 68-51%. The cyanide complexes can be recycled to the methyl complexes (S,R)- and (S)-1 (>99.9% ee) in 88-53% yields in two steps as shown in Scheme 9 (12). Studies in progress show that it is possible to combine consecutive steps with improved overall yields. Also, it should be possible to use electrophiles other than alkylating agents to derivatize thiolates 5.

2. Mechanism of Diastereoselection. As diagrammed in Scheme 10, the dominant SC configurations of thiolates 5 (Schemes 3,4,8) require that when the rhenium configuration is S, the allyl moiety in ylide 6 preferentially migrates to the *si* face of the carbanion. However, other key transition state variables remain undefined, such as (a) the rhenium-sulfur conformation, (b) the configuration of the sulfur stereocenter, and (c) the conformation of the migrating allyl group. To help frame these possibilities, the transition states II and III (Scheme 10) are analyzed first. Both lead to the major thiolate diastereomer IV.

The rhenium-sulfur conformations in II and III correspond to those that would be the most stable in sulfide complexes $4^+ X^-$ (7). Adducts of the rhenium fragment I are formally octahedral, and numerous studies have established that the interstice between the large PPh₃ and small nitrosyl ligands is the most congested (7,11b,13). Note that the idealized P-Re-N bond angle (90°) is smaller than those involving the cyclopentadienyl centroid (125°). Thus, ligands preferentially adopt conformations that direct their least bulky groups into this region (14).

Similarly, the interstice between the small nitrosyl and medium cyclopentadienyl ligands is the least congested (7,13). Thus, ligands preferentially adopt conformations that direct their largest groups into this



Scheme 10. Some Transition State Models for [2,3] Sigmatropic Rearrangements

-rhenium-sulfur rotamers of II,III, or V give rhenium-sulfur rotamers of IV or VI -second series of transition states possible that are epimeric at sulfur



region. The rhenium-sulfur conformation depicted in thiolate IV should therefore be the most stable. As supporting evidence, the four thiolate complexes in Fig. 2-3 crystallize accordingly, with N-Re-S-C torsion angles between $-56.7(6)^{\circ}$ and $-86.7(4)^{\circ}$. Hence, II and III directly give thiolate complexes in the lowest energy rhenium-sulfur conformation.

Additional families of transition states can be generated from **II** or **III** by (a) rotating ca. 120° about the rhenium-sulfur bond, or (b) inversion at sulfur. However, all of these will involve a less stable rhenium-sulfur conformation of the ylide and/or thiolate product. Although these possibilities cannot at present be rigorously excluded, there is a good probability that their energies will be higher.

In view of the low sulfur inversion/rotation barriers in $4^+ X^-$, we suspect that the ylide 6 undergoes rapid sulfur inversion/rotation on the time scale of rearrangement. Conformational processes involving the SC substituents are also likely rapid. In this familiar Curtin-Hammett limit (15), diastereomer ratios reflect the absolute energies of the competing transition states. In the opposite limit, kinetic selectivities become important. For example, the sulfur configuration is initially determined by which of the two diastereotopic allyl groups of $4^+ X^-$ is deprotonated. Regardless of the limit that applies, we propose that base preferentially attacks the allyl group in the least hindered interstice between the nitrosyl and cyclopentadienyl ligands. Further, in the more stable of the rhenium-sulfur conformers in crystalline (S)- $4a^+$ SbF₆⁻ (Fig. 1, right), one SCH₂ proton is sterically more accessible. Abstraction would give III directly (16).

The question remains as to what is *dis*favored about analogs of II or III that involve the *re* face of the ylide carbanion, such as V. We initially thought that III would be less stable than V due to steric interactions of the vinyl carbanion substituent and the cyclopentadienyl ligand. However, there is also precedent for *attractive* edge/face interactions involving cyclopentadienyl ligands and aryl or other unsaturated moieties (17). This would account for the highly diastereoselective reaction of di(benzyl) sulfide complex $4h^+$ TfO⁻, which would involve an ylide in which a bulkier phenyl substituent is proximal to the cyclopentadienyl ligand. The pentamethylcyclopentadienyl complex $4a^+$ -Me₅ BF₄⁻ provides another probe of this model. In the corresponding transition state III-Me₅, the vinyl group should experience much greater steric repulsion, and attractive edge/face interactions would no longer be possible. Accordingly, the diastereomer of thiolate

5a-Me5 that would be derived from V-Me5 is formed preferentially.

Finally, transition states II and III differ in the conformations of the migrating allyl group. These can be viewed as *exo* and *endo*, respectively, with respect to the sulfur lone pair. As detailed in a theoretical study, the former is generally favored with organic sulfur ylides (18). Importantly, $4d-f^+$ TfO⁻, which have unsymmetrically substituted allyl termini, give different SCC diastereomers depending upon the *exo/endo* sense of the transition state. This is illustrated with VII and VIII in Scheme 10. The crystal structure of (*SRR*,*SRS*)-5f establishes that the latter is greatly favored with the *t*-butyl substituted complex $4e^+$ TfO⁻ shows little selectivity. Hence, we presume that II and III are usually close in energy.

3. Summary. The preceding chemistry raises many attractive possibilities for new research directions. Extensions involving *unsymmetrical* sulfide complexes (19), and chiral iron and ruthenium Lewis acids (20), are currently under investigation. We predict that other types of heteroatom donor ligands will give similar chemistry, and that variants that are catalytic in metal can be developed. Progress towards these objectives will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgement. We thank the NSF for financial support. Postdoctoral fellowships were generously provided by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG; O.M.), NIH (P.C.C.), Ciba-Geigy Jubilaeumsstiftung (K.W.), Schweizer Nationalfonds (K.W.), and NATO (D.V.).

References

- (a) I.E. Markó, in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; B.M. Trost, Editor-in-Chief; I. Fleming, Deputy Editor-in-Chief; G. Pattenden, Volume Editor; Vol 3, Chapter 3.10, Pergamon, New York (1991).
 (b) E. Vedejs. Acc. Chem. Res. 17, 358 (1984).
- (a) B.M. Trost and R.F. Hammen. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 962 (1973).
 (b) B.M. Trost and W.G. Biddlecom. J. Org. Chem. 38, 3438 (1973).
- (a) P.C. Cagle, A.M. Arif and J.A. Gladysz. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116, 3655 (1994).
 (b) P.C. Cagle, O. Meyer, K. Weickhardt, A.M. Arif and J.A. Gladysz. J. Am. Chem. Soc. in press.
- (4) J.H. Merrifield, J.M. Fernández, W.E. Buhro and J.A. Gladysz. Inorg. Chem. 23, 4022 (1984).
- (5) J.J. Kowalczyk, S.K. Agbossou and J.A. Gladysz. J. Organomet. Chem. 397, 333 (1990).
- (6) The configuration at rhenium is specified first (and according to conventions described previously) (5), followed by those of any SCC (C_{α}) and SCC (C_{β}) stereocenters.
- (7) N. Quirós Méndez, A.M. Arif and J.A. Gladysz. Organometallics 10, 2199 (1991).
- (8) K.K. Anderson, in *The Chemistry of the Sulphonium Group*; C.J.M. Stirling and S. Patai, Eds.; Chapter 10, Wiley, New York (1981).
- (9) M.A. Dewey, Y. Zhou, Y. Liu and J.A. Gladysz. Organometallics 12, 3924 (1993).
- (10) (a) W.A. Schenk, J. Frisch, W. Adam and F. Prechtl. Inorg. Chem. 31, 3329 (1992). (b) W. Henderson, B.K. Nicholson and R.D.W. Kemmitt. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2489 (1994).
- (11) (a) J.M. Fernández and J.A. Gladysz. Organometallics 8, 207 (1989). (b) M.A. Dewey, D.A. Knight, D.P. Klein, A.M. Arif and J.A. Gladysz. Inorg. Chem. 30, 4995 (1991).
- (12) G.B. Richter-Addo, D.A. Knight, M.A. Dewey, A.M. Arif and J.A. Gladysz. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115, 11863 (1993).
- (13) (a) G.L. Crocco, K.E. Lee and J.A. Gladysz. Organometallics 9, 2819 (1990). (b) S.G. Davies, I.M. Dordor-Hedgecock, K.H. Sutton and M. Whittaker. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 109, 5711 (1987). (c) S.C. Mackie and M.C. Baird. Organometallics 11, 3712 (1992).
- (14) Interestingly, half of the cations in crystalline (S)-4a⁺ SbF₆⁻ have an allyl group in this region (Fig. 1, left; Fig. 2, left). This constitutes the first time (out of numerous opportunities) that an adduct of I and a Lewis base with a hydrogen or lone pair on the ligating atom has crystallized without the hydrogen or lone pair in this position (7,11b,13a,15).
- (15) J.I. Seeman. Chem. Rev. 83, 83 (1983).
- (16) One rationale for the lower diastereoselectivities with the stronger bases in TABLE 2 would be lower deprotonation selectivities. However, if as we propose the Curtin-Hammett limit applies, interactions between 6 and the various conjugate acids may be invoked. An obvious possibility would be hydrogen bonding, which has been observed with amine (11b) and phosphine (21) adducts of I.
- (17) (a) H. Brunner. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 22, 897 (1983); see sections 6-8. (b) R. Hunter, R.H. Haueisen and A. Irving. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 33, 566 (1994), and references therein. (c) I. Dance and M. Scudder. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1039 (1995).
- (18) Y.D. Wu and K.N. Houk. J. Org. Chem. 56, 5657 (1991), and references therein.
- (19) P.C. Cagle, O. Meyer, D. Vichard, K. Weickhardt, A.M. Arif and J.A. Gladysz. submitted to Organometallics.
- (20) P.C. Cagle, P.T. Bell and J.A. Gladysz. manuscript in preparation.
- (21) B.D. Zwick, M.A. Dewey, D.A. Knight, W.E. Buhro, A.M. Arif and J.A. Gladysz. Organometallics 11, 2673 (1992).