Control of regio- and stereoselectivity in electrophilic addition reactions of allenes*

Shengming Ma

Laboratory of Molecular Recognition and Synthesis, Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310027 and State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 354 Fenglin Lu, Shanghai 200032, P. R. China

Abstract: The halohydroxylation reaction of 1,2-allenyl sulfoxides exhibits $E$-selectivity while those of analogous sulfides and selenides show $Z$-selectivity. Rationales for the stereo-selectivities are proposed. The reaction of 2,3-allenols with $X^+$ affords 3-halo-2,5-dihydrofurans or 3-halo-3-enals depending on the nature of the alcohols.
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Allenes are a class of compounds with unique reactivity [1]. Recently, we have observed nice reactivity as well as selectivity in transition metal-catalyzed or -mediated reaction of functionalized allenes [2,3]. On the other hand, the electrophilic addition reaction of allenes [4,5], which introduces two functionalities within one operation, would be synthetically attractive if the issues of regio- and stereoselectivity can be properly addressed (Scheme 1).

In 2000, we observed a highly regio- and stereoselective halohydroxylation of 1,2-allenyl sulfoxides with $X^+$ and water. The reaction shows excellent regioselectivity with the $X$ attacks on the middle carbon atom while the OH group connects to the terminal carbon atom of the allene moiety remote from the sulfinyl group and $E$-stereoselectivity. With I$_2$, CuBr$_2$, NBS, or CuCl$_2$, the iodo-, bromo-, or chloro-analogs can be prepared in high yields within a couple of hours (Scheme 2) [5].
By examining the stereochemical information of both the optically active starting material 1 and the corresponding product 2, it was observed that the absolute configuration of the sulfur atom was inverted after the reaction. Thus, a rationale involving the cyclic intermediates 3 and 4 for this transformation was proposed as shown in Scheme 3 [6]. The regioselectivity was determined by the interaction of I₂ with the relatively electron-rich C–C double bond while the stereoselectivity may be explained by the intermediacy of 4 (Scheme 3).
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In the presence of BnSH, the iodohydroxylation of 1,2-allenyl sulfoxides afforded 3-hydroxy-2-iodo-2(\(E\))-alkenyl sulfides (Scheme 4) [7]. In this reaction, the sulfoxide functionality was reduced to sulfide. The oxygen atom in the sulfoxide functionality may form the hydroxyl group with BnSH being converted to BnSSBn.
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Furthermore, the halohydroxylation of 1,2-allenyl sulfide 5 with I\(_2\) in aqueous MeCN afforded 2-iodo-3-methyl-4-phenyl-2-butenal 7 in 30% with a \(E/Z\) ratio of 1.08:1 as the identified product. This product was formed via the iodohydroxylation of the C–C double bond connected with PhS. Further screening led to the observation of a dramatic solvent effect, i.e., the reaction in aqueous acetone afforded the expected iodohydroxylation product \(Z\)-8 in 66% yield together with a 12% yield of aldehyde 7. It should be noted that the C–C double bond in product 8 is in \(Z\)-configuration (Scheme 5).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>time (h)</th>
<th>7 (E/Z)</th>
<th>8 (Z/E)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MeCN:H(_2)O (4:1)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30 (1.08:1)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone:H(_2)O (2:1)</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>12 (1.07:1)</td>
<td>66 (&gt;99:1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Under these reaction conditions, differently substituted 1,2-allenyl sulfides afforded 2-iodo-3-hydroxy-1(\(Z\))-alkenyl phenyl sulfides in good yields highly stereoselectively (Scheme 6) [8].
The corresponding bromohydroxylation can be accomplished in aqueous MeCN (MeCN:H₂O = 4:1) in the presence of Na₂CO₃ (1 equiv) (Scheme 7) [9].

Under similar reaction conditions, this reaction can be extended to the iodohydroxylation of 1,2-allenyl selenides (Scheme 8) [10].

Using MeCN/hexane (1:1) as the solvent and a limited amount of H₂O, MeCN may participate in the reaction to afford the four-component reaction products N-(2(Z)-iodo-3-seleno)-2-propenyl amides 9 (Scheme 9) [10].

The soft Lewis acid–base interaction between X⁺ and S or Se may determine the Z-stereoselectivity observed in the halohydroxylation of 1,2-allyl sulfides and selenides. In the presence of a lim-
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ited amount of water, the intermediate 10 would react with MeCN first, followed by the reaction with water to afford amides (Scheme 10).

In 1993, Friesen et al. reported that the electrophilic addition reaction of 2,3-allenols with I$_2$ afforded an $E/Z$ mixture of 4-hydroxyl-2-iodo-2($Z$)-alkenyl iodides, which upon treatment with a base afforded 1-iodovinyl epoxides (Scheme 11) [11].

Based on the above results, we showed strong interest in using the established procedure to control the selectivity of electrophilic addition of 2,3-allenols with X$^+$. In fact, when primary 2,3-allenols were treated with I$_2$, 3-iodo-2,5-dihydrofurans 12 were formed in 50–82% yields in aqueous MeCN (Scheme 12).
However, when 1-aryl-2,3-allenols were applied under the same reaction conditions, instead of forming the corresponding 5-membered products, 2-aryl-3-halo-3-alkenals 13 were formed in high yields cleanly when R² is H or an electron-donating group (Scheme 13) [12]. Owing to the fact that one C–C double bond remained after reaction may also easily interact with Br₂, the yields of aldehydes 13 (X = Br) are higher with NBS.

The aldehyde 13a may be formed by the 1,2-phenyl shift reaction of cationic 2-bromo-π–allylic intermediate 14 (Scheme 14).

It is interesting to observe that the corresponding reaction of 3-alkyl-3,4-pentadien-2-ols with I₂ or Br₂ afforded 4-halo-4-en-2-ones 15–17, which must be formed by the 1,2-H shift of 14-type intermediates (Scheme 15) [12].
In conclusion, the regio- and stereoselectivity of electrophilic addition reactions of allenes with a functionality of sulfoxide, sulfide, or selenide depend largely on the reaction conditions applied and the nature of these functionalities. Reaction products of I$_2$, Br$_2$, or NBS with 2,3-allenols are determined by the substituent of the carbon atom connected with the hydroxyl group. Further studies are required to reveal the real mechanism of these reactions.
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